

Ch. 14: Projective Testing

1702

Psychology 402 - Fall 2024 - Dr. Michael Diehr

Copyright © 2024 Michael Diehr
All Rights Reserved
For use only by students enrolled
in my sections of Psyc 402
through the end of the semester.
May not be posted, shared or uploaded
online without permission.

1703

Psychology 402 - Fall 2024 - Dr. Michael Diehr

Projective Testing

- Review of test design patterns
- The Projective Hypothesis
- Projective Tests
 - Rorschach Inkblot Test
 - The TAT

1706

Psychology 402 - Fall 2024 - Dr. Michael Diehr

Certifiably Sane

- Psychologist: “The Rorschach is a projective psychological test that contains 10 cards with inkblots on them...The subject is shown each of the cards one at a time and [states] what the inkblot might be”
- Attorney: “You mean to say that you can tell whether a person is sane or insane by the way he or she interprets 10 black, gray and variously colored inkblots?”

1708

Psychology 402 - Fall 2024 - Dr. Michael Diehr

Design Theories

- Deductive (aka “Top Down” or “Theory-driven”)
 - Use reason, clinical experience and common sense to choose test items that are face-valid.
- Empirical (aka “Bottom-Up” or “Data-driven”)
 - Look for patterns in large groups of data
 - Data tells us what factors exist
 - Don’t assume face validity

1710

Psychology 402 - Fall 2024 - Dr. Michael Diehr

Stimuli vs. Response

- Objective vs. Subjective
 - stimuli
 - expected responses / response choices

1711

Psychology 402 - Fall 2024 - Dr. Michael Diehr

The Projective Hypothesis

- Given ambiguous stimuli, responses will reflect a subject's
 - needs
 - feelings
 - experiences
 - prior conditioning
 - thought processes
 - cognitive schemas
 - etc...

1712

Psychology 402 - Fall 2024 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Inkblot History

- Inkblots originally proposed for *Personality* assessment by Alfred Binet - Whipple (1910) created first test.
- Rorschach changed test to assess *Psychopathology* (mental illness)

1714

Psychology 402 - Fall 2024 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Rorschach 1

1715



Psychology 402 - Fall 2024 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Rorschach Inkblot Test

- 10 cards
- Two phases:
 - free association : “what might this be?”
 - inquiry: determine why subject saw that
- Tester gives as little feedback as possible: remains vague, neutral, ambiguous
- Test is atheoretical

1717

Psychology 402 - Fall 2024 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Rorschach History

- Hermann's death led to difficult history
- Five disciples each with different scoring system
- Studies in the 1950s and 1960s began to debunk the Rorschach in controlled double-blind studies
- Exner began to develop his system in response

1719

Psychology 402 - Fall 2024 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Rorschach Claims

- Expert examiners can make predictions of “miraculous” accuracy
- Predictions hard to test
- Explained by the Barnum or Forer Effect?

1725

Psychology 402 - Fall 2024 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Rorschach Scoring

- Exner's Comprehensive system
- Responses scored on 5 dimensions:
 - Location
 - W(hole), D(etail), Dd(unusual detail)
 - Determinant
 - F(orm), M(ovement-human), FM(animal), m(inanimate), C(olor), T(shading)
 - Form quality : F+, F, F-
 - Content : H(uman), A(nimal), N(ature)
 - Frequency (popularity of response)

1726

Psychology 402 - Fall 2024 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Rorschach Theory vs. Data

- Determinant : cooperative movement
- Hypothesis : subjects giving these responses are fun, trustworthy
- Data: study of 20 sexual psychopathic murders, over 70% gave such answers

1727

Psychology 402 - Fall 2024 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Rorschach Controversy

- Test Remains controversial
- Administration not standardized
- Reliability coefficients not established
- Validity
 - lack of relationship to psychological diagnoses
 - 50% of above average IQ children diagnosed with social/cognitive impairments (Erard 2005)
 - lack of incremental validity (e.g. in addition to MMPI)

1728

Psychology 402 - Fall 2024 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Rorschach Controversy 2

- Test has not shown to be Reliable or Valid
- Still a widely used clinical test
- Wide range of opinions:
 - "Perhaps the most powerful psychometric instrument ever envisioned" (BPA, 1998)
 - "...bears a charming resemblance to a party game" (Wood et al, 2003)
 - "[should be] banned in clinical and forensic settings" (Garb, 1999)

1729

Psychology 402 - Fall 2024 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Rorschach Controversy 3

- Professionals suffering from overconfidence?
- Similarity to Lie Detector Tests?
- FBI hair analysis...

1730

Psychology 402 - Fall 2024 - Dr. Michael Dohr

TAT



1733

Psychology 402 - Fall 2024 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Thematic Apperception Test

- Similar to Rorschach in some ways
- Questions about reliability and validity
- Administration & Scoring is not well standardized
 - too many scoring systems
 - most clinicians use no scoring system at all!
- However, somewhat less controversial than Rorschach
 - made fewer claims
 - did not “oversell” its abilities
 - Based on a theory (Murray’s 28 human needs)

1734

Psychology 402 - Fall 2024 - Dr. Michael Dohr

TAT : Lindzey’s assumptions 1

- Subject identifies with one “hero”
- Subject’s issues may be represented symbolically
- Not all stories are important
- Themes from stimuli less relevant than themes from subject
- Recurrent themes important
- Themes may be short or long term

1735

Psychology 402 - Fall 2024 - Dr. Michael Dohr

TAT : Lindzey’s assumptions 2

- Stories may represent third-hand material; but selection is important
- Stories may reflect sociocultural factors
- Disposition and Conflicts in stories may be unconscious

1736

Psychology 402 - Fall 2024 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Rorschach vs. TAT

Rorschach	TAT
Rejected by many scientists	more accepted
Atheoretical	Murray’s (1938) theory of needs
Oversold / extravagant claims	Humble claims
Claims to be diagnostic	not diagnostic
Clinical use	Clinical and non-clinical use

1737

Psychology 402 - Fall 2024 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Other Projective Tests

- Word Association Tests
“say the first word that comes to mind”
- Sentence Completion Task
I am ____
I enjoy ____
What annoys me ____
- Figure Drawing Test

1738

Psychology 402 - Fall 2024 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Projective Testing : Conclusions

- Projective tests are controversial yet widely used
- Objectively, have poor psychometrics: Reliability, Validity, Standardization & Norms
- Subjectively, they feel impressive
- Recommendations:
 - do not oversell results
 - use only to generate *hypotheses*
 - part of a larger assessment

1739

Psychology 402 - Fall 2024 - Dr. Michael Dohr