

Ch. 5: Validity

640

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Diehr

Copyright © 2025 Michael Diehr
All Rights Reserved
For use only by students enrolled
in my sections of Psyc 402
through the end of the semester.
May not be posted, shared or uploaded
online without permission.

641

Psychology 402 - Fall 2024 - Dr. Michael Diehr

Validity

- History
 - Griggs v. Duke Power
 - Ricci v. DeStefano
- Defining Validity
- Four Kinds of Validity
- Reliability vs. Validity
- Validity as Explained Variance

656

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Diehr

Griggs v. Duke Power (1971)

- Duke Power company - Coal fired power plant
- 13 people employed as Janitors
- Wanted promotions to next level (coal handler)
- Duke required certain score on IQ test for promotion
- Of 95 employees at power station, 14 were Black, 13 of 14 were janitors
- Legal case: was the IQ test requirement valid or discriminatory?
- Supreme Court decision : “invalid”

658

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Diehr

Griggs v. Duke Power - 2

- Supreme court found
If a test impacts different ethnic groups disparately, the business must demonstrate the test is a “reasonable measure of job performance”
- In scientific terms: tests must be *valid* predictors of specific criteria.

659

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Diehr

Disparate Impact

- aka “Adverse Impact”...
 - disproportional...
 - adverse effect...
 - on a protected class...

660

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Diehr

Impact

- A negative Effect on
 - Employment or
 - Housing

661

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Disproportionate

- The proportion of a protected class affected by the behavior is different from a non-protected class
 - 80% rule
- Example
 - 50% of men are hired
 - 45% of women are hired
 - $45/50 = 90\%$

662

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Protected Classes

- Federal Law:
 - race, color, religion, national origin, age (40+), sex, pregnancy, citizenship, familial status (kids), veterans, genetic status
- California Law:
 - ...disability, sexual orientation, HIV or medical status, political party, victim of domestic violence

663

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Definitions of Validity

- Agreement between test scores and the thing (construct) it claims to measure
- Many other definitions; some confusing or incompatible with each other
- AREA/NCME (1985, 1999, 2012) “Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing”
- One informal definition: Face Validity
- Three formal definitions: Content, Criterion, Construct

664

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Face Validity

- Common Sense / Informal Analysis
- “I like mechanics magazines” = you like mechanics magazines.
“I never tell a lie” = you never lie
- Issues:
 - What factors might influence a test-taker’s response?
 - Face validity is not a proper type of validity
 - Quizzes in magazines or on the Internet -- might appear “face valid” but often low reliability and validity

665

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Does Face Validity Matter?

- Naive view = face validity
- Tests with very little face validity...
 - what does the average test taker feel about the test?
 - motivation?
 - confusion?

666

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Content Validity

- Do the test questions cover the construct?
- Commonly used in education (achievement/ aptitude testing)
- Example: does an Algebra test contain questions about a wide range of Algebra topics?
- This is a Logical, rather than statistical argument
- Fuzzy definition
- Modern theories consider Content Validity a sub-set of other types of validity

667

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Content Validity 2

- If a test is supposed to test a specific *Construct*, problems may arise:
- Construct underrepresentation
 - test questions miss important topics
- Construct-irrelevant variance
 - test scores are influenced by outside factors
 - e.g. anxiety, reading comprehension, IQ, etc...

668

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Content Validity

669

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Improving on Content Validity

670

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Norm vs. Criterion

- Norm-referenced test
 - “Hire the top 5% of applicants”
 - Pro - select the best
 - Con - can they do the job?
- Criterion-referenced test
 - “Hire those who can do _____”
 - Pro - they can do the job
 - Con - not selecting the best

671

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Criterion Validity

- Criterion -- a well defined measure of performance *in the real world*
- Criterion validity -- the correlation between a test score and the specific criterion

672

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Predictive vs. Concurrent

- Predictive
High School SAT score (predictor) predicts later College GPA (criterion)
- Concurrent
Work samples from mechanics

673

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Dohr


Measuring Validity

- General: relationship between test and what it's supposed to be measuring
- Specific: Pearson product-moment correlation (r) between Test Score (X) and criterion (Y)

674

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Criterion Validity Example



- SAT vs GPA
- Validity = $R_{x,c}$
- Predictive
- $R=0.360$
- $R^2=13.0\%$

675

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Dohr

How much Validity?

- Validity is often lower than you want
- $r > 0.60$ is rare
- $r = 0.40$ or lower is common
- $r^2 = \%$ of variance explained
- $r = .60$ means just 36% of variation in the criterion scores explained by the predictor score (thus, 64% is not explained)
- $r = .40$ means 16% of variance explained (84% not)

676

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Evaluating Validity Coefficients

- Validity depends on the cause of the correlations
- And on the meaning of the criterion
- If the reason for the relationship changes, validity may change as well.
- Examples:
 - Different *subjects*
 - Different *situation*
 - Different *criterion*

677

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Problems with Criterion Validity

- “what is the criteria?”
- Some criteria are obvious and sensible:
E.g. using SAT to predict college GPA
- For many constructs, it's not clear:
E.g. intelligence. IQ test used to predict ____?
- Without a *specific* criterion, you can't calculate criterion validity!

678

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Construct Validity

- Construct = theoretical entity. In soft sciences, usually not directly observable or measurable.
- Solution -- the world is complicated. In Psychology (as in other sciences) things can exist even if they aren't easy to measure.
- Method -- collect evidence for the construct via multiple **methods**, multiple **sources**, multiple **subjects**

679

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Construct Evidence

- **Convergent Evidence** – data from multiple sources all tend to point to the same conclusion.
- **Divergent Evidence** (aka **Discriminant Evidence**) – evidence that a Construct is not the same as another

680

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Construct Validity Example

- Construct: Insomnia
- Convergent correlations - R should be strong (either positive or negative)
 - # of hours slept
 - tired feeling
 - another test of insomnia
- Divergent correlations - R should be weak (close to zero)
 - education
 - IQ

681

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Construct Validity Example

	An old test of insomnia	# of hours slept	Feeling Tired	IQ	Education
Correlation between your test of insomnia and...	✓	✓	✓	none	none
Which kind of Construct validity?	Convergent	Convergent	Convergent	Divergent	Divergent

682

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Dohr

The Love Test

- Rubin (1970)'s Love Scale
- From Literature, created 198 items on Likert scale
- Result: a "Love" scale and a "Liking" scale
- Love scale had 3 factors: attachment, caring, intimacy
- Convergent evidence:
 - lovers vs. friends
 - eye contact
- Divergent evidence:
 - possible to love someone w/o liking them



683

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Dohr

All Validity is Construct Validity?

- Modern theory: only one type of validity -- Construct validity
- Other types of validity are sub-types of Construct validity.

684

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Ricci v. DeStefano (2009)

- Written and Oral test for promotion to firefighter Captain position in Newhaven CT
- Pass rate: Whites 64%, African Americans 38%, Hispanics 38%
- Scoring rule: only top scores eligible
- None of the African Americans would get promotion
- City, worried about Disparate Impact, denied promotions to everyone
- 18 White employees filed suit against the city
- Question: was this the right action to take?

685

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Ricci v. DeStefano 2

- Supreme court decision:
- Found City *did the wrong thing*
- Race-based action can be taken only if “demonstrate a strong basis in evidence that, had it not taken the action, it would have been liable under the disparate-impact statute”
- Tests are discriminatory:
 - if they are not valid for the job.
- *Protected classes* having different outcomes does not itself make a test illegal

686

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Dohr

4 Kinds of Validity

	Description	Notes	Statistic(s)
Face	do items “look” valid?	informal, improper, non-scientific	none
Content	do test questions cover the topic?	logic & expert judgement	none
Criterion	does the test predict a specific outcome?	requires a well-defined criterion	Pearson’s R (correlation) between Test and Criterion
Construct	does the test measure what it claims	modern theory: all validity is Construct validity	Convergent and Divergent correlations (Pearson’s R)

687

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Reliability vs. Validity

- Validity coefficient is the correlation between a test and the criterion
- We know that *Test Measurements* and *Criterion Measurements* are unreliable
- The maximum validity is the square root of the product of their individual reliabilities.

$$r_{12max} = \sqrt{r_{11}r_{12}}$$
- If the tests have low reliability, validity can be hidden

688

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Reliability vs. Validity : Example


Reliability of Test	Reliability of Criterion	Maximum Possible Validity	
CES-D	DSM-V Depression	R	R ²
1	1	1	100%
0.8	1	0.89	79%
0.6	1	0.77	59%
0.4	1	0.63	40%
0.2	1	0.45	20%
1	0.5	0.71	50%
0.8	0.5	0.63	40%
0.6	0.5	0.55	30%
0.4	0.5	0.45	20%
0.2	0.5	0.32	10%

689

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Variance: Reliability & Validity


- Variance in test scores can be divided into different portions
- In this example, only 16% is useful (validly predicts criterion)
- Other sources of error are known or unknown



690

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Dohr

Reliability vs. Validity



- Target shooting analogy
- Similar to accuracy vs. precision

Reliability or Validity Part 1

Procedure	Reliability or Validity	What kind?
Correlation of IQ scores with ability to handle 30 tons of coal per day		
Correlation between SAT scores taken in Junior vs. Senior year of High School		
Having a committee review a high school history exam to make sure the questions cover all required topics		
Correlation between scores on two different versions of a Final Exam		

Reliability or Validity Part 2

Procedure	Reliability or Validity	What kind?
Showing that your new measure of Anxiety correlates with an old measure of Anxiety, and does not correlate with IQ		
Showing that everyone in your research lab can rate "grumpy" expressions the same way		
Looking at a question about depression and deciding that it measures depression.		
Showing that questions on your test all correlate strongly with each other		

Ch. 5 - Part 2

How much validity do you need?

- Clinical Significance
- Effect Size of Benefits
- vs Cost and Harm
- Examples:
 - an inexpensive test which can detect 1% of cancers (validity $r^2=1\%$)
 - a written test for airline pilots to detect who is unsafe (validity $r^2=93\%$)
- Answer: it depends

4 Kinds of Validity

	Description	Notes	Statistic(s)
Face	do items "look" valid?	informal, improper, non-scientific	none
Content	do test questions cover the topic?	logic & expert judgement	none
Criterion	does the test predict a specific outcome?	requires a well-defined criterion	Pearson's R (correlation) between Test and Criterion
Construct	does the test measure what it claims	modern theory: all validity is Construct validity	Convergent and Divergent correlations (Pearson's R)

Constructs, Factors, Facets

- Constructs may be “high” or “low” (also called “top” or “bottom”)
- Top-level constructs are made of smaller constructs
- aka Factors, Facets, Dimensions, Domains, Codes, Types...

719

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Diefz

Construct: Anxiety

720

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Diefz

Convergent Validity

- Multiple factors within a construct or multiple measures of a construct
- All correlate with each other strongly

722

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Diefz

Divergent Validity

- Other factors (not part of a construct)
- Should have low to zero correlation
- What about negative correlations?

723

Psychology 402 - Spring 2025 - Dr. Michael Diefz